

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE**

GEORGE MILLION,)	
)	Case No. 3:22-cv-251-TRM-JEM
<i>Plaintiff,</i>)	
)	Judge Travis R. McDonough
v.)	
)	Magistrate Judge Jill E. McCook
DAVID B. RAUSCH, Director of the)	
Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, in his)	
official capacity,)	
<i>Defendant.</i>		

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction prohibiting Defendant from enforcing any provision of the Tennessee Sexual Offender Registration, Verification, and Tracking Act (“the Act”) against Plaintiff. (Doc. 6). On August 10, 2022, Defendant submitted a response opposing Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary injunction, citing the *Younger* abstention doctrine as cause for the Court to dismiss the case. (Doc. 12). The parties appeared before the Court on August 18, 2022, for a hearing on Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary injunction and expounded upon their respective arguments for and against abstention under the doctrine.

Younger cautions federal courts against exercising jurisdiction in a matter that would interfere with an ongoing state proceeding and is rooted in principles of equity, comity, and federalism. *See Fowler v. Benson*, 924 F.3d 247, 255 (6th Cir. 2019). Because Plaintiff is requesting the Court to enjoin the same law (the Act) Plaintiff agreed to comply with under the terms of a plea agreement pending in state court, the Court’s ruling on this matter would risk placing the principles animating *Younger* in jeopardy. (Doc. 13).

Accordingly, the Court declines to exercise jurisdiction in this case pursuant to *Younger* and **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's action **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. See *Louisville Country Club v. Kentucky Comm'n on Human Rights*, 221 F.3d 1335 (6th Cir. 2000) (noting that dismissal without prejudice is proper under *Younger* for equitable claims).

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Travis R. McDonough

TRAVIS R. MCDONOUGH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE